

Service Challenge Savings (Consultation not required)



Contents	Page
SC002 - Waste – Reduce non-recyclable waste disposal at HWRCs	4
SC012 - Integrated Transport Services	6
SC013 - Bus Shelter Advertising	8
SC029 - Highways – Gully Emptying	9
SC034 - Highways Network Regulation (Parking – On street pay and display)	11
SC035 - Highway Regulation (Bus Lane Enforcement)	13
SC048 - Highways Regulation (Street Works Permit Fees)	15
SC060 - Core Business Systems - Digital Contact by Consent	17
SC074 - Customer Access Service – LCC Customer Journey	20
SC075 - Customer Access Service – Reduce Opening Hours	25
SC078 - Customer Access Service – Stop Delivery of Highways Emails	27
SC103 - Lancashire Youth Offending Team (YOT)	30
SC104 – Lancashire Youth Offending Team	32
SC109 - Safeguarding, Inspection and Audit (Audit Team)	34
SC112 - Review of the management structure across Children's Social Care	36
SC113 - Education and Children's Services Business Support	38
SC122 - Lancashire Safeguarding Children's Board	40
SC123 - Demand Management Projects including an expansion of Family Group Conferencing	42
SC124 - Children's Social Care	44
SC134 - Special Educational Needs and Disabilities - Independent Non Maintained Special Schools	46
SC136 - School Improvement	48
SC312 – Exchequer	50
SC316 - Corporate Finance	51
SC317 – Corporate Finance	53
SC358 - Child Protection Legal Services Legal Fees/Disbursements	54
SC366 - Child Protection Legal Services Reduction of 25 Public Law Outline cases	56
SC368 - Child Protection Legal Services Police Disclosure costs	58

SC374 - Democratic Services – Freeze Annual Uplift	60
SC375 - Democratic Services – Member	62
Subsistence SC401 - Facilities Management	64
SC501 - Adult Social Care Community (Older	04
People (OP) / Physical Disability (PD))	66
SC505 - Home care for older adults and people with physical disabilities – promoting single-handed care	69
SC507 - Changes in Night Time Support rate for commissioned services	73
SC508 - Modernisation of Supported Housing	77
SC511 - Enablement	83
SC512 - Acceleration of Disability Service Supported Living Remodelling	85
SC513 - Lancashire Care Foundation Trust (LCFT) supported living scheme transfer to LCCs Disability Service	87
SC518 - Adult Social Care – Reassessing Direct Payments	90
SC520 - Adult Social Care – Promoting Direct Debit	92
SC521 - Adult Social Care – Residential Care Status	94
SC602 - Children & Family Wellbeing (CFW)	96
SC605 - Bus Network Education Resource	98
SC616 - Patient Safety and Safeguarding	99
SC801 - Planning and Environment	400
(environmental information charges)	100
SC805 - Economic Development - Increase	
Income from Lancashire County Developments Limited (Lancashire Business Park)	101
SC811 - Economic Development	103

Service Name:			Waste – Reduce non-recyclable waste disposal at HWRCs			
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20		
Gross budget 2018/19			£8.064n	า		
Income 2018/19				£0.600n	า	
Net budget 201	8/19			£7.464n	า	
Budget Change	and Dro	filing (c	liecroto	voar):		
Budget Change and Profiling (discrete 2019/20 2020/21 202				21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			.m	£m	£m
-0.250	0.0			000	0.000	-0.250
0.230	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.200
FTE implication	ns:					
2019/20	2020	1/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0			.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.0	<i>,</i>	0	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Rec	nuirad (Ir	west to	Savo).			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			.m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
Decisions need deliver the bud savings					ce non-recyclable cycling Centres.	e waste disposal
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, ind	The saving will be delivered through changes in operati at Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) in or to ensure recyclable waste is prevented from be deposited in the non-recyclable waste containers. It change may be noticeable to service users as it will re in increased scrutiny and separation of the wastes be delivered. There is potential that on some occasi customers may encounter minor delays in depositing the rubbish. Facilities may appear more untidy at busy times separated items are gathered prior to storage.				HWRCs) in order ted from being containers. This rs as it will result he wastes being some occasions in depositing their dy at busy times
Actions needed deliver the serv change		Changes to operations at HWRCs to reduce operations accessibility to non-recyclable containers for members the public. Increased engagement between HWRC staff accustomers and greater promotion of waste separation. Staff will be encouraged to actively open bags, boxes other containers containing waste in order to retrievely likely to the containers containing waste in order to retrievely likely to the containers containing waste in order to retrievely likely to the containers and greater promotion of waste separation.				/RC staff and e separation.

	Operations will be planned on a site by site basis to take into account the general layout, size and usage of each facility.				
Is external consultation required	No				
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Reducing the amount of non-recyclachieved in sufficient quantities materials deposited in non-recyclathat the required levels can be active saving will be difficult to measure recyclable waste collected varies of Some customers may perceive the rubbish as an invasion of privanticipated that customers will be wastes themselves but where they be trained on recovery of recyclable Procedures will be put in place to personal or sensitive items without It should be noted that the outcome budget saving has the potential to saving.	although analysis of able containers indicate chieved. Delivery of this as the amount of non-each year the active sorting of their vacy. In general it is encouraged to separate refuse to do so staff will e materials in this event. To allow the disposal of the intrusion.			
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required			

Service Name:	Name:			Integrated Transport Services - Provision of Bus Passes for Secondary Excluded, wherever possible			
	start year' does this option 2019/20 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or						
Gross budget 2	018/19			£23.982	m		
Income 2018/19				£2.658m			
Net budget 201	8/19			£21.324m			
Budget Change	and Pro	filing (d	liscrete	year):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	£m	£m	£m	
0.000	-0.4	00	0.	000	0.000	-0.400	
FTE implication							
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00	
I			0 1				
Investment Rec				24/22	2022/22	Total	
2019/20 £m	2020 £r			21/22 Em	2022/23 £m	Total £m	
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000	
0.000	0.0		0.	000	0.000	0.000	
			option	for second	procuring taxi trandary excluded sc place.		
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, nd	The proposal will affect the excluded pupils who other would have received taxi transport. They would instead expected to travel by bus. This may impact attendance and punctuality. It may also impact upon Pupil Referral Units with increased absenteeism and arrivals. There may also be an impact on bus oper and other passengers on buses should an increase in social behaviour occur.				vould instead be y impact upon mpact upon the steeism and late n bus operators	
Actions needed deliver the service change		Development of an assessment procedure to determine suitability to travel by bus or justification for taxi transport Engagement with Pupil Referral Units Pupils/families/Head teachers informed of change.				or taxi transport.	
Is external consultation re	quired	No					

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Risk that pupils are unable or unwilling to travel by bus and therefore do not attend thereby disrupting their education or disrupt schools because of late arrival and bus services because of poor behaviour. This can be mitigated through use of an assessment procedure.
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken?	Not required

Service Name:			Bus Shelter Advertising					
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20					
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£0.000m	1			
Income 2018/19				£0.000m	1			
Net budget 201	8/19			£0.000m	1			
Budget Change	and Pro	filina (d	liscrete	vear).				
2019/20	Budget Change and Profiling (discrete 2019/20 2020/21 202			21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£n			:m	£m	£m		
-0.050	0.0			000	0.000	-0.050		
				L				
FTE implication	าร:							
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total		
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00		
Investment Rec								
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£n			:m	£m	£m		
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000		
		s and de	evelop oth	ising space on a er opportunities a er county cour	at bus stations in			
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, ind	required to esta			ablish and maintain a client base.			
Actions needed deliver the service change			y and	within the county council to develop a implementation plan for commercial				
Is external consultation re	quired	No						
What are the ris associated with change and how they be mitigate	sks n this w will	There is a risk that demand for advertising will fluctua			g will fluctuate.			
Is an Equality Analysis requir if so, has one b undertaken?	•	Not required						

Service Name: Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			Highways – Gully Emptying 2020/21			
Income 2018/19				£0.000m	1	
Net budget 201	8/19			£1.547n	1	
Budget Change	and Pro	ofilina (c	liscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
0.000	-0.2	:83	0.	000	0.000	-0.283
FTE implication						
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	3.0	00	0	.00	0.00	3.00
Incomplete Day			0			
Investment Red 2019/20	quirea (in 2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	2020 £r	·		: 1/22 :m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000
savings		Approve the establishment and investment of 3.0 fte to support the implementation of this saving proposal. The saving of £0.283m is net of the additional investment required.				
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners The service delivers the house delivery with direct county council owned was contractors when required. The service routinely cleand undertakes reactive within a budget of £1.547 The service experience recruitment has become shortages and the conshave their own vehicles under-utilised.			ctly employed opvehicles. It is sued. eanses 105,000 e cleaning and journal of the common difficult is equent use of the common difficult is equent use of the common difficult.	gullies per year etting works all nover of staff, eading to driver contractors who		
	County council maintenance or				are ageing and re	equire increasing

	It is proposed to employ a contractor to undertake routine gully cleansing works, with six vehicles being retained to support the in house delivery of reactive work. The proposals include the establishment of 3 posts to provide supervision of the contract.				
Actions needed to	Through a competitive tendering	g process, establish a			
deliver the service	framework contract for the de	livery of routine gully			
change	cleansing.				
Is external	No				
consultation required					
What are the risks	There is no proposed change to current service levels.				
associated with this					
change and how will	Contractor performance will be monitored to ensure				
they be mitigated	service standards are met.				
	The contractor will be required to format consistent with the commanagement system	•			
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required			

Service Name: Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or				ys Network Regul et pay and display	,	
2022/23	20, 2020/2	21, 2021	/22 or			
Gross budget 2018/19			£2.105n	n		
Income 2018/19 £2.675m						
Net budget 201	8/19			-£0.570		
Budget Change	and Pro	filing (c	liecrata	voar):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			.m	£m	£m
0.000	-0.1			085	0.000	-0.185
			ı			
FTE implication	ns:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Red						
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			<u>:m</u>	£m	£m
0.114	0.0	98	0.	000	0.000	0.212
Decisions need deliver the bud savings	geted ervice,	machir Curren	nes and tly ther	chargeab e is cha	of on street parking spaces	g on street in
other LCC serv service users a external partne	ınd	Lancaster and Preston only, which is confined to relatively small number of streets in the city centres. The proposal is to expand provision in the city centres and implement on street charging for parking into other town and localities in Lancashire. It is also proposed to review the level of on street parking charges.				ity centres. The city centres and into other towns
Actions needed to deliver the service change Charging can help to manage the availability of keep parking space where there is a demand for it. Initiate for consideration in addition to Lancaster and Foundation comprise Burnley, Lytham, Ormskirk, Clitheroe, Wordstrand Carnforth, Colne, Padiham, Nelson, Chorley, Clere Poulton and Great Harwood. It is estimated the number of pay and display ticket machines would in from 27 to 80 and the number of chargeable passaces would increase from approximately 1 approximately 560. The introduction of on street parking charges require the promotion of a traffic regulation order works.				or it. Initial areas ter and Preston theroe, Whalley, orley, Cleveleys, imated that the s would increase rgeable parking mately 190 to charges would		

	subject to statutory public consideration of any consequent of	
Is external consultation required	No	
What are the risks associated with this change and how will	Objection to the introduction of the highly likely.	ne on street charging is
they be mitigated	The introduction of charges may parking into adjacent streets whethrough the promotion of traffic regions.	nich could be mitigated
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Yes - completed

Service Name:			Highway Regulation (Bus Lane Enforcement)			
	Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23		2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£2.105n	n	
Income 2018/19	9			£2.675n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			-£0.570	m	
Budget Change	and Pro	ofilina (c	liscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			m	£m	£m
-1.500*	-0.4			000	0.000	-1.958
*Includes £1m for	sites that	are curre			nera enforcement	
FTE implication						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
3.00	0.0			.00	0.00	3.0
		<u> </u>				
Investment Red	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	m	£m	£m
0.150	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.150
			•			
Decisions need deliver the bud savings Impact upon se	geted	promot Lancas	e com shire.	pliance		
other LCC service users a external partne	ınd	order to promote the use of public transport throug improving journey times and service reliability. Camer enforcement provides an effective means of securin compliance with bus lane restrictions, protecting the investment that has been made in them. 10 sites at which bus lanes exist or are approved for introduction have been identified for camera enforcement - Greyhound Bridge (sites), Morecambe Road (2 sites) and Parliament Street in Lancaster; Tithebarn Street, Fishergate/Cheapside and Fishergate Hill in Preston; and Whitebirk link and Accrington Town Centre in Hyndburn.				diability. Camera ans of securing protecting the 10 sites at which action have been shound Bridge (2 Parliament Street e/Cheapside and
deliver the service change require a review and the promore require statutor of objections. Procurement as			w of signir notion of ry public o	ng and road mark traffic regulation consultation and t	t cameras would ing requirements orders, which he consideration as Recruitment of	

Is external consultation required	No				
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Objection to the introduction enforcement is highly likely.	of	bus	lane	camera
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one		No	t requ	ired

Service Name:				Highway Permit F	/s Regulation (Str	eet Works
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20		
Gross budget 2				£1.438n	า	
Income 2018/19				£3.242n	า	
Net budget 201	8/19			-£1.804ı	m	
Budget Change	and Pro	filina (c	liscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
-0.380*	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	-0.380
*Includes £0.300n	n for incor	ne alread	ly being r	recovered		
FTE implication	ns:					
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0.	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Rec	uired (Ir	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020		2021/22		2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.000		0.000	0.000
Decisions need					associated with	the Lancashire
deliver the bud	getea	Permit Scheme for Street Works.				
savings	rvico	The permit scheme fees have been reviewed as part of the				
Impact upon se other LCC serv		3 year statutory review period. This review has indicated				
service users a	•				e applied to the fe	
external partne					cashire Permit Sc	
	. •				statutory level. Ar	
					charges to the u	
				_	n to utility compan	• •
Actions needed					with utility comp	
deliver the serv	rice	Depart			nsport and th	e subsequent
change		conside	eration c	of any obj	ections.	
					20 629	
Consultat Departme				•	with utility comp rt.	banies and the
Is external		No				
consultation re	auired	INO				
	quii c u					

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	There is a risk of objections from utility companies whic would be mitigated by the analysis of income an expenditure in the detailed review that has taken place.				
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required			

Service Name:				Core Bu	•	- Digital Contact
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20		
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£4.097n	n	
Income 2018/19				£0.302n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			£3.795n	n	
Budget Change	and Pro	ofilina (c	liscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			m	£m	£m
0.000	-0.0	21	-0.	019	-0.017	-0.057
			,			
FTE implication	าร:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0.	00	0.00	0.00
Investment Red						
2019/20	2020		_	1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	0.000
deliver the bud savings	geted	contactions consert electro	ted elect nt is g nic/digita et decision to deve	ronically iven all al means. on to ac	and not via 'hard correspondend cept Digital Cor	consent to being copy' mail. Once ce will be via stact by Consent of Digital First
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	This is a complex piece of work with multiple stakeholders and customers. However, it has the potential to generate significant savings year on year if executed correctly.					
Tarana parano		Reconstruction across acr	essing Larying quiremer homunical last the cost the costomer Amanage	CC serving access to the with as process are common to the	ces, with varying o digital medium volvement of a services users sses would need on, that is to say and Communication	all services that via hard copy I to be consistent

processes and system integration to ensure we capture a services users consent (or refusal) and ensure this follows them on their user journey throughout the organisation. Longer term investment in the wider development of digital skills within the organisation and the wider community to support service users with the transition. This will link to the delivery of a Digital First Strategy and have implications for a range of services including Skills, Learning & Development and Economic Development as well as external groups and partner organisations. Actions needed to Adoption of the Digital First Strategy deliver the service A robust, integrated, technical infrastructure will need change to be in place in order to capture consent and facilitate its movement throughout a service user journey when accessing multiple services. Consistent support and adoption of the principle of digital consent across the organisation. This would need to be managed as a distinct programme of work with underpinning work streams, representatives and leads from all areas of the organisation would be needed in order to understand all aspects of a service users' journey and how moving to digital would impact them Working groups established with service users to fully understand how we can work together to put them at the heart of the digital journey. effective communications An campaign communicate the coming changes to service users Investment may be required to support the delivery of Digital Contact by Consent. No Is external consultation required What are the risks Potential reputational risk. The organisation may be associated with this seen to be making it unnecessarily difficult for change and how will service users to interact with us. they be mitigated Mitigate the risk by ensuring that informed consent is given and not assumed or forced. As processes improve and customers become more familiar with effective digital first transactions this risk will diminish. This is an innovative and new way of working with a significant risk that we are unable to adequately capture consent for digital contact in a consistent way. If this cannot be done effectively it will mean service users receive contact via a range of mediums, even if they have already consented to

	digital contact. This would have both reputational and financial implications.
	This risk will be mitigated through the establishment of a programme of work to establish the issues to be resolved and an effective development and implementation programme with a phased delivery. The management of communication and customer expectations will also be key.
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken?	Yes - completed

Service Name:	Customer Access Service – LCC
	Customer Journey
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23	2019/20
Gross budget 2018/19	£5.339m
Income 2018/19	£2.205m
Net budget 2018/19	£3.134m

Budget Chang	ge and Profiling	(discrete year):

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£m	£m	£m
-0.030	-0.030	-0.020	-0.120
	2020/21 £m	2020/21 2021/22 £m £m	2020/21 2021/22 2022/23 £m £m £m

*Please note, further savings of £0.020m will be made in 2023/24

FTE implications:

Temporary:

2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
3.00	-3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Recurrent:				
2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
-1.70	-1.30	-1.20	-0.90	-5.10

*Please note, further savings of 0.80 fte will be made in 2023/24

Investment Required (Invest to Save):

Temporary:

2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
		_		
£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
0.120	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.120

Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings

- Adoption of Digital First Strategy and commitment to support a digital channel shift
- 2. A decision is required to update the LCC Customer Journey, and to implement, prioritise and maintain digital contact options across all services (links to Digital Contact by Consent SC0 60).
- 3. Approval of funding to recruit a dedicated Change Manager and technical support function within Customer Access to implement necessary changes to support digital channel shift.
- A commitment to review, rationalise and reduce customer routes into the authority by delivering a single 'front door' provided by the Customer Access Service.
- 5. A commitment to encourage and maximise selfservice, manage the level of direct engagement with the customer and control quality.

6. A decision is required to bring responsibility for all customer interactive services to the Customer Access Service, including responsibility for on-line services, to allow better partnership working and improve the consistency and quality of the customer experience.

Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners

If this proposal is realised, there will be a change in the nature of enquiries Customer Access handle.

- Customers seeking information will be enabled to access this directly online without needing to contact the authority through Customer Access or through other services.
- Transactional interactions will have been moved online and automated maximising the opportunity for customers to self-serve 24/7.
- Customer Access will focus on handling complex interactions that require specialist skills and can't be resolved through self-service or automated transactions. This may require fewer but longer interaction lengths.
- Customer Access will work more closely with services across the organisation and with partners, developing stronger working relationships with all services with an aspect of customer interaction, delivering a consistent level of customer engagement
- Through this, Customer Access will have full responsibility for the LCC customer journey and will realise improvements to reduce escalations and complaints through tracing the full journey to identify and correct errors.
- The knowledge and data collected through this coordinated model of joint working between services and Customer Access will be capitalised on to enable the authority to better understand our customer and their experiences, to continually develop and improve the customer journey.

In line with the new ways of working, LCC services will see changes in their customer interaction.

- The bulk of information-seeking and transactional enquiries will be online, and services will see less of the trickle-through enquiries.
- No services outside Customer Access will handle any first point of contact (FPOC) customer interactions.

- Services will be responsible for keeping Customer Access updated on any changes to their service information so that the online information remains current.
- Resource freed for other duties.
- BTLS will be required to support all systems and tools required to support this new way of working.

The customer will see an improvement in experience when interacting with LCC.

- Information will be easily-available online at any time, increasing the convenience for customers by allowing them to interact with LCC services as they wish to fit around their other commitments.
- Quick and easy to report things/apply for things/find updates on things online.
- Can interact via new channels social media and webchat.
- Customer Access Service there for focussed help when needed - with shorter waiting times.
- Customers have a better opinion of LCC.

External partners will interact with LCC in a different way.

- They will share information with us digitally.
- They will support our channel shift and encourage customers to self-serve where appropriate.

Actions needed to deliver the service change

- 1. Finalisation and adoption of Digital First Strategy
- Thorough business analysis and data collection undertaken by Customer Access and any other services involved, including BTLS, to allow informed planning and delivery of the work required to implement the proposals.
- 3. A SMART project plan for delivery should then be developed, using the information found in the business analysis work as a basis for timescales.
- 4. Corporately, existing systems should be exploited to their full potential to support the LCC Customer Journey proposal, with planned programmes of work to digitise customer access to all services being prioritised and maximised to realise full potential.

5. Particular consideration to be given to Genesys Phase 2, Report It, Apply for It, and the Social Care Portal which could be expanded to include customers, allow two-way information sharing, and other non-social care services?

Is external consultation required

No

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated

RISK: Lack of appetite within the organisation for the adoption or implementation of a Digital First Strategy, reducing the ability to deliver a digital channel shift for the organisation and the customer

MITIGATION: Effective engagement with Cabinet and Corporate Management Team to determine leadership objectives and priorities. Development of appropriate digital priorities linking with Corporate Plan (when available) supported by an effective communication plan.

RISK: Transforming the LCC customer journey in line with a Digital First Strategy has a risk that required tools and systems will not be available when needed to realise savings.

MITIGATION: Development of challenging but realistic project delivery plans which take full account of realistic technology delivery timescales and resource availability across all services involved.

RISK: Transforming the LCC customer journey in line with a Digital First Strategy carries a risk of not truly achieving channel shift, instead moving customer contacts into services and shifting the cost elsewhere rather than realising any savings.

MITIGATION: The shift should be done in a robust manner to ensure true customer channel shift, automating processes that are currently manual, using appropriate tools that integrate to back office systems and truly avoid human involvement in handling the incoming interaction. This will avoid moving customer enquiries around the organisation.

RISK: There is a risk that customers will still be able to access existing contact details and use them to bypass the digital journey. This will affect potential to realise savings.

MITIGATION: Work with LCC's partner organisations to ensure that they support the changes and update their information in line with ours. The older contact channels will have auto responses added to direct customers to correct channel to further enforce this.

RISK: There is a risk that LCC staff will not want to support this new way of working when it does not directly affect their service or budget. This will affect the ability of the organisation to achieve customer channel shift and realise savings.

MITIGATION: LCC CMT to affect organisational culture change by leading on the digital channel shift and promoting a Digital First Strategy, holding all services responsible for their role in this.

RISK: Transforming the LCC customer journey in line with a Digital First Strategy risks having a high setup cost as there are large scale changes required.

MITIGATION: This is unavoidable, and any changes would need to be supported by a clear business case outlining costs and benefits.

RISK: Transforming the LCC customer journey in line with a Digital First Strategy without a maintenance strategy risks online information becoming out of date and generating additional contacts in the future.

MITIGATION: Responsibility for maintaining the quality of on-line information will be owned by CAS, supported by Communications and individual services. The delivery plan should include resource provision for regularly revising and updating online information. This should be corporately supported with services responsible for providing CAS with up to date and accurate information.

RISK: Putting information online and encouraging our customers to interact with us digitally carries information security risks.

MITIGATION: CAS to work with Information Governance and consider the GDPR when designing the customer journey, working with services to complete Privacy Impact Assessments for each new element.

Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one	ļ
been undertaken?	

Not required

Service Name:				Custom	er Access Service	e – Reduce
				Opening Hours		
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20		
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£5.339n	n	
Income 2018/19				£2.205n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			£3.134n	1	
Budget Change	1					
2019/20	2020		_	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			<u>:</u> m	£m	£m
-0.070	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	-0.070
FTE implication	าร:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
-3.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	-3.00
Investment Red	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
deliver the bud savings Impact upon se		Reduci	ng from	8am – 6p	e to align with Co om to 8am – 5pm ct (FPOC) custor	
other LCC serv service users a external partne	rices, [°] ind	will need to be handled in the new, reduced time period.				
		The offer to Schools HR (income generating) service would also reduce in line with this.				
		The im	pact on	customer	S:	
through Cu				operating hours for customer contacts customer Access. Currently receive limited f calls (1.3% of all calls) between 5-6pm.		
The impact to external partners:						
	Reduced opening hours for external partner conta through Customer Access					
Actions needed deliver the service change		1. Co	•	and Cabir	net approval of red	duced operating
Cilaliye		Business analyses process and development of implementation plan to ensure all issues are				

	addressed before change to new operating hours. This will include an effective communications plan and promotion of new hours.					
Is external	The offer to Schools for the (in	come generating) HR				
consultation required	service would also need to reduce therefore needs to be considered made. Communication with School	ce in line with this and d when the decision is				
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	RISK: Customers find a route into available. It is estimated that non 1/3 more for the same function was avings achieved.	-CAS interactions cost				
	MITIGATION: This should be avo on-line offer (linking to SCO 74) the customer away from direct cor	and actively managing				
	RISK: Customer confusion or Customer Access availability leadi					
	MITIGATION: Clear and effective communication plan for CAS operating hours, updating of all automated messages, and ensure correct information is provided by partner organisations.					
	RISK: If CAS stop handling interactions for a service, and becomes an online-only facility, to be fit for purpose to support true of generating additional contact dissatisfaction.	this function instead hen this offer needs to hannel shift rather than				
	MITIGATION: There should be a implement the changes to make properly.					
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Yes - completed				

Service Name: Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			Customer Access Service – Stop Delivery of Highways Emails 2019/20			
Income 2018/19				£2.205n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			£3.134n	n	
•				1		
Budget Change	and Pro	ofilina (c	liscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n		2m	£m	£m
-0.040	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	-0.040
FTE implication					0000/00	
2019/20	2020	-		21/22	2022/23	Total
-1.90	0.0)()	0	.00	0.00	-1.90
Investment Rec	nuired (Ir	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			2m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000
seldom provid				ions are e adequa	particularly ine te levels of inforn ons' rather than re	nation for action,
	Impact upon service, Customer Access will:					
 other LCC services, service users and external partners See a reduction in the number and variety interactions and will actively direct customers t line Report It facility. 						_
email corresp					TEs due to reduce. This will be and the first insta	chieved through
The impact on LCC services.						
		to th		or email enquiries to find alternative routes in ority and reach Councillors and the Highway self		
Expectation that all interactions will take place on-line						e encouraged to

	Collection of adequate and accurate information at first contact via Report It forms, ensuring appropriate remediation actions can be undertaken by the Highway Service quickly and effectively
	The impact on customers :
	Customers affected are those who are already digitally enabled as they are making contact via email
	The service will still be delivered by LCC as an online offering, the customer will be able to find information online, and will be required to interact with us online
	On-line reporting will ensure adequate information is provided by the customer at FPOC and avoid repeat contacts and prolonged interactions.
	No anticipated impact on external partners
Actions needed to deliver the service	Approval to stop dealing with Highway enquiries via email
change	2. Thorough business analysis and data collection to allow informed planning and delivery of the work required to implement the proposals.
	3. A SMART project plan for delivery will be developed, using the information found in the business analysis work as a basis for timescales.
	Customers will be actively directed to the on-line Report It facility
Is external consultation required	No
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	RISK : If CAS stop handling first point of contact for Highway email, there is a risk that these emails are redirected by customers to the Highways service, VIP mail or Councillors directly.
	MITIGATION : This should be avoided and on-line options promoted by all parties within the authority.
	RISK : If CAS stop handling first point of contact interactions for a service, and this function instead becomes an online-only facility, then this offer needs to be fit for purpose to support true channel shift rather than generating additional contacts and customer dissatisfaction.

MITIGATION: There should be a full project to plan and implement the changes to make sure this is completed properly.

RISK: That online options are not appropriate for all customers and some are unable to make reports.

MITIGATION: This proposal is specifically directed at customers already contacting the authority on-line (via email) and therefore it is anticipated that they will be able to use the Report it facility

Is an	Equality	Analysis	required	and,	if so,	has c	one
heen	undertak	ren?					

Not required

Service Name:			Lancash	nire Youth Offendi	ng Team (YOT)		
Which 'start ye	Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			Lancashire Youth Offending Team (YOT) 2020/21			
Gross budget 2	2018/19		£2.718n	n			
Income 2018/19			£1.851n	n			
Net budget 201	8/19		£1.074n	n			
	e and Profiling (2222			
2019/20	2020/21		21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£m		<u>:m</u>	£m	£m		
0.000	-0.500	0.	000	0.000	-0.500		
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total		
0.00	0.00*	0	.00	0.00	0.00		
		ts are poo	oled and m	nay result in a staff	reduction across		
the pooled resour							
	quired (Invest to						
2019/20	2020/21		21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£m		<u>:m</u>	£m	£m		
0.000	0.000	0.	0.000 0.000				
deliver the bud savings	geted of La Local Mana form £0.50	Blackbuities ar Boards to ancashire ancashire onsultatio	take place with (urn with Darwen of respective agree to combin YOT and achie County Council. In with the Nation ney are a key fina	and Blackpool Youth Justice e local YOTs to eve a saving of al Youth Justice			
other LCC services, service users and external partners entrants to the diversion), redu use of custody provided to ser requirements fo Impact would be partner agencie Lancashire Se National Probations				eam work to re h justice system fending behaviour OT services will users as per na ith Justice. itive for improving YOTs who alread i.e. Lancashire Service, HM an. There are Level Arrangeme	(prevention and rand reduce the continue to be ational standard efficiencies with y provide a Pan Constabulary, Courts, Victime already Pan		

Actions needed to Consult with Local Authorities and Youth Justice deliver the service Management Board (YJMB) financial partners for change agreement. Consult with Chief Executives of Lancashire, Blackburn with Darwen and Blackpool Local Authorities for agreement. Consult with YJMB in relation to budgets. Review of YOTs caseloads and service provision. Review Pan Lancs YOT staffing arrangements including managers with a view to making efficiencies. Combine governance, financial and Human Resources arrangements - 1 Youth Justice Management Board instead of 3. Develop service level agreement for Pan Lancashire YOT health services. Develop Pan Lancashire working arrangements with Local Authorities Children's Services – e.g. Education, Children's Social Care. Children and Families Well Being Service and SEND. • Share resources e.g. programmes, interventions, reparation schemes, Junior Attendance Centres (including pooled budget from Youth Justice Board). unpaid work, Saturday Court cover, evening and weekend working with young people and families, volunteer's service. Develop Pan Lancashire Performance Management Framework supported by one Business Intelligence Team. Develop commissioning shared arrangements (currently separate arrangements for Appropriate Adults Service and Triage). • Consult HM Inspectorate of Probation in relation to arrangements for YOT Inspections. Develop a single volunteer service. Is external No consultation required What are the risks Although the benefits outweigh the risks, the Unitary associated with this Authorities and respective multi agency YJMB's may change and how will not agree with the proposal. they be mitigated This key risk will be mitigated through early dialogue with partners to establish the appetite for merger, including discussion relating to current vacancies and proposals to recruit to vacancies in Partner YOTs. Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one Not required been undertaken?

Service Name:				Lancash	nire Youth Offendi	ng Team (YOT)	
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20				
Gross budget 2	018/19			£2.718m	า		
Income 2018/19)			£1.851n	า		
Net budget 201	8/19			£1.074n	1		
Budget Change	and Pro	filing (d	liscrete	vear):			
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	ìm	£m	£m	
-0.197	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	-0.197	
FTE implication							
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	U	0.	.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Red	uirod (la	wast to	Sava):				
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			: 1/22 :m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000	
01000					0.000		
Decisions need	led to	Agree	gree that the Youth Offending Team will complete				
deliver the bud savings	geted	assessments on behalf of Children's Social Care of young people who display sexually harmful behaviour. Currently these assessments are commissioned out.					
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, [°] nd	Offendi providir	ng Tean ng the a	n core sta assessme	ervice users as Laff currently have the terms and interven thin the youth justi	ne experience in tions for young	
Actions needed deliver the service change		 Consultation is taking place with Childrens' Social Care representative on the Youth Justice Management Board to prepare for taking referrals to the Youth Offending Team prevention service. Youth Offending Team and Children's Social Care staff who will provide the prevention of sexually harmful behaviour assessments and interventions will need training to work with younger aged children in this area – the costs to this training can be covered from the Youth Offending Team pooled budget. Pan Lancashire joint working arrangements with the Police, Children's Social Care and Youth Offending Team in managing young people who sexually harm will need reviewing and revising. 					
Is external		No					
consultation re	quired						

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Some of the young people who service may still require specific offending Team can spot purchat support this work at approx. £600 covered in the pooled budget.	cialist services. Youth se specialist support to
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required

Service Name:				Safeguarding, Inspection and Audit (Audit Team)			
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2 2022/23		-		2019/20	,		
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£12.629	m		
Income 2018/19)			£0.568n	า		
Net budget 201	8/19			£12.061	m		
Budget Change	and Pro	ofilina (d	liscrete	vear).			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			m	£m	£m	
-0.007	0.0			000	0.000	-0.007	
0.001	0.0				0.000	0.00.	
FTE implication	าร:						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00	
					1		
Investment Rec	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):				
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	:m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000	
Agree to stop external moderation of childrens social case file audits. Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners Agree to stop external moderation of childrens social case file audits. Moderation would be completed in-house which was a positive in the recent O inspection. However, if this option was implemented, we would lack external scrutiny and the confidence this provided order to mitigate this risk an independent audit team							
Actions needed deliver the service change	rice	complete dip samples of monthly audits to ensure quality is at the required level. Successful recruitment to new audit posts that are part of the new structure already in place for the service. Without this additional capacity, we wouldn't be able to fulfil this saving. The required changes to the audit team are currently in the consultation phase with current staff.					
consultation re	quired						

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated

- A lack of external scrutiny, challenge and the confidence this provides.
- This would be additional work for the audit team due to the associated work this generates. Additional work would impact on the capacity to deliver the audit team's work including potentially to children with protected characteristics (see Equality Impact Assessment). In order to mitigate this risk an independent audit team will complete dip samples of monthly audits to ensure quality is at the required level.
- The audit team is small thus delivery of this proposal is vulnerable to unforeseen circumstances (e.g. inspection, absence, competing demands). In order to mitigate this risk close monitoring of the priorities of the team will take place.

Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has or	ne
been undertaken?	

Not required

Service Name:			Review of the management structure across Children's Social Care				
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20				
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£103.31	6m		
Income 2018/19				£2.010n	າ		
Net budget 201	8/19			£101.30	6m		
Budget Change	and Dro	filing (c	licarata	voar):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			: 1/22 :m	£m	£m	
-0.481	-0.4			000	0.000	-0.962	
0.401	0.7	01	0.	000	0.000	-0.302	
FTE implication	1S:						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
-20.00*	0.0			.00	0.00	-20.00	
* Estimated – acti		-	_			-20.00	
Investment Red				onoming it	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r		_	.m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000	
0.000					0.000	0.000	
Agreement to review the management Children's Social Care (CSC), including Fostering, Adoption, Residential and Team (FARY), Safeguarding, Inspection Special Educational Needs & Disability ((CSC), including esidential and `ding, Inspection a	CSC Localities, Youth Offending & Audit (SIA) and		
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	rices, and	The review will consider management tiers, roles and responsibilities and management spans. The review widentify how structures can be streamlined to improve efficiency, whilst providing focused leadership at all levels. To manage the impact on the service appropriate supervision ratios will be maintained, with a continue focus on improving the quality of front-line practice.					
 Full HR consultation process with staff. Development of new structures. Implementation of new structures. 							
consultation required What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated • There is a risk of service disruption, los expertise and impact on staff morale. There is a potential impact on the continuing improve journey for Children's Services.					ale. There is also		

	 To mitigate against these risks a joint review will be undertaken, considering the management arrangements across CSC and SEND, engaging managers in the process of change. Vacancies as they arise will be covered by temporary staff.
Is an Equality Analys undertaken?	is required and, if so, has one been Not required

Service Name:				on and Children's s Support	Services	
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£7.623	m	
Income 2018/19				-£0.487		
Net budget 201	8/19			£7.136	m	
Budget Change					0000100	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			<u>:m</u>	£m	£m
-0.410	-0.4	·11	0.	000	0.000	-0.821
FTE implication	าร:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
-48.67	0.0			.00	0.00	-48.67
	311					
Investment Red						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	:m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
savings Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	for Education and five separate bus services: School Educational Ne Adoption, Res Safeguarding, In It is proposed the footprint with b services. The Improvement at together. It is all ensure consister the services. The				sign the business en's Services. The support functions rement, Learning Disability (SE & Youth (nere are currently supporting these & Skills, Special ND), Fostering, Offending, and es on a 3 locality of across these ions for School will be brought e some posts to nsibilities across of posts in the
		 Pot ope revi 	ential re erational	duction in staff ar	act on the service on the level of sup and managers. A as support tasks u	oport provided to more detailed

	-
	 Introduction of new ways of working and redesign of the service will impact on staff at all levels until these are fully embedded. The establishment, disestablishment, regrading and/or relocation of posts required for this redesign to meet service requirements will impact on staff within the service.
Actions needed to deliver the service change	 Further detailed planning to confirm the structure, including the management arrangements and staff roles and responsibilities. Further engagement with operational managers to ensure changes in practice meet service needs and that there is a commitment to the changes required, including new and more efficient ways of working. Job evaluation of a significant number of posts is required as either new roles or proposed changes to existing roles and responsibilities. Formal consultation with staff. Support and advice from HR, Programme Office and finance colleagues. Some of the proposals require IT enhancements to achieve efficiencies.
Is external consultation required	No
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	 The following risks have been identified: Potential impact on statutory service delivery following a reduction in business support resources. This could result in operational staff undertaking administrative tasks. Mitigation: review of business support tasks to prioritise the work of the service and close monitoring of workloads from implementation onwards. Loss of expertise and knowledge and impact on staff retention if management of change is not well managed. Mitigation: regular communication with staff as part of the redesign and implementation process. Provision of a comprehensive induction, training and support package to encourage staff retention and professional
	 development. Delays in the introduction of the IT enhancements required as detailed above. required and, if so, has one Not required

Service Name:			Lancashire Safeguarding Children's Board			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£12.629	m	
Income 2018/19)			£0.568m	1	
Net budget 201	8/19			£12.061	m	
Budget Change						
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
-0.038	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	-0.038
FTF in all and						
FTE implication		10.4		4/00	0000/00	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	JU	Ü	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Bee	unirad /le	woot to	Covo).			
Investment Rec 2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	2020 £r			: 1/22 :m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.0		0.		0.000	0.000
Decisions need	led to	Review	and r	educe th	e contribution c	of LCC funding
deliver the bud					ncashire Safegua	U
savings	J		(LSCB).		9	9
Impact upon se	ervice,	Change	es in sta	atutory gu	idance allow gre	ater flexibility in
other LCC serv	ices,	how	multi-ag	ency sa	feguarding arra	ingements are
service users a	nd	configu	red. Fro	om Septe	mber 2019, LSCI	Bs will cease to
external partne	rs	exist, v	vith the	3 statutor	y partners: local	authority, police
		and he	alth bei	ng respor	nsible for the new	v arrangements.
		Discus	sions a	are takin	g place with	Blackpool and
		Blackb	urn witl	n Darwei	n regarding a p	oan Lancashire
		approa	ch whic	ch will st	reamline Board	structures and
		achieve	e financi	al savings	3.	
Actions needed	d to	Chief (Officer a	agreemen	t of the 3 statut	tory partners to
deliver the serv	/ice				ire approach in r	
change					ng arrangements	
				equired t	o implement the	new structural
		arrange	ements.			
Is external		No				
consultation re	auired	INU				
consultation re	quired					

What are the risks
associated with this
change and how will
they be mitigated

There are minimal risks as changes in statutory guidance mean that there is a statutory requirement to implement new multi-agency safeguarding arrangements. This therefore provides an opportunity to review our approach. However, the timescales are very tight in that the new arrangements must be in place by September 2019 and ideally in advance of this deadline. There are minimal risks in relation to the budget reduction of £38k, as these will be efficiencies that are generated from the new working arrangements.

Is an Equality Analysis	required a	nd, if so,	has	one
been undertaken?				

Not required

Service Name: CSC			Demand Management Projects including an expansion of Family Group Conferencing			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2020/21			
Gross budget 2	018/19			£103.31	6m	
Income 2018/19				£2.010m	1	
Net budget 2018	8/19			£101.30	6m	
Budget Change	and Pro	filina (d	iscrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£n			m	£m	£m
0.000	-2.3			000	0.000	-2.300
3.000			<u> </u>		3.000	
FTE implication	s:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0			.00	0.00	0.00
Recurrent: 2019/20 £m	2020 £n			21/22 Cm	2022/23 £m	Total £m
0.000	0.4			000	0.000	0.420
Decisions need deliver the budg savings					oung people on ng Family Group Om in order to ate at this stage	
Impact upon service, Safe reduction of numbers of looked afte			lans and pre			

	Establish delivery team to pilot existing targeted provision Develop pathways Commence interventions Ongoing review and alignment Programme. This is a programular successfully secured funding for a children looked after through a depayment by results.	ent with Lifechances amme that LCC has and will redu e the no of
Is external consultation required	No	
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Risk that interventions will not achi This will be mitigated through the including Family Group Conference evidence base and have been to within the Lancashire footprint before widely.	e use of interventions, ing, which have a strong ested on a small scale
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required

Service Name:			Children's Social Care			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2018/19			£103.31	6m		
Income 2018/19				£2.010m		
Net budget 201	8/19			£101.30	6m	
				1		
Budget Change	and Pro	filina (d	discrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r		-	m	£m	£m
-0.137	-0.4			000	0.000	-0.550
0.101	0.1	10	<u> </u>		0.000	0.000
FTE implication						
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
-17.00*	0.0			000	0.000	-17.00
* Estimated – acti			_			17.100
Investment Red				<u> </u>	-	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	2m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
deliver the bud	ded to geted	Childre	n's Soci	al Care a	nd the Child and I	-
savings Impact upon se	geted ervice,	Childre Service A reduce	en's Soci e and ide ction in F	al Care and entify opposition	nd the Child and I ortunities for ratio pport Workers, wi	Family Wellbeing onalisation.
Impact upon se other LCC serv	geted ervice, rices,	Childre Service A reduce that ma	en's Soci e and ide ction in F ay be id	al Care and entify opporture and supporture and sup	nd the Child and I ortunities for ratio pport Workers, wi prough the review	Family Wellbeing onalisation. ithout mitigations v, will reduce the
Impact upon se other LCC service users a	geted ervice, rices, and	Childre Service A reduce that man	en's Soci e and ide ction in F ay be id f suppor	al Care and entify opporting Superstanding the entified the that the	nd the Child and I ortunities for ratio pport Workers, wi grough the review County Council i	Family Wellbeing onalisation. ithout mitigations v, will reduce the
Impact upon se other LCC serv	geted ervice, rices, and	Childre Service A reduce that man	en's Soci e and ide ction in F ay be id f suppor	al Care and entify opporting Superstanding the entified the that the	nd the Child and I ortunities for ratio pport Workers, wi prough the review	Family Wellbeing onalisation. ithout mitigations v, will reduce the

Is external consultation required	No	
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Without mitigation, there is a and families will receive support on social workers will increase through a joint review across Wellbeing Service and Child identify how collective capacity appropriately to improve outco people and their families.	ort or that the demands se. Mitigation will be the Child and Family dren's Social Care to can be focussed most
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required

Service Name:			Special Educational Needs and Disabilities - Independent Non Maintained Special Schools			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2020/2021			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£23.159	m	
Income 2018/19				£6.603n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			£16.556	im	
Budget Change	and Pro	filina (c	discrete	vear):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£n	n	£	:m	£m	£m
0.000	-0.0	87	-0.	063	0.000	-0.150
FTE implication	ns:					
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.2	25	0	.00	0.00	0.25
Investment Rec						
2019/20	2020		-	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£n			<u>:m</u>	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
Decisions need deliver the bud savings		School	ssioning places	Indepe	ndent Non-Main	
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, nd rs	School places and to improve i contract management arrangements. The review will provide an opportunity to ensure that the standard and quality of provision purchased by the Count Council is maintained or improved. The review will also provide an opportunity to give longer term certainty to providers regarding the price that they can expect to receive, rather than negotiating price increases on a case by case basis each year. There will be no detrimental impact on children and young people. Review the current arrangements for commissioning of Independent Non-Maintained Special School places and				ed by the County review will also erm certainty to can expect to eases on a case didren and young ommissioning of hool places and
Is external consultation re	quired	No				

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Current Placements may be hig variable quality. The review is a Council to gain greater assur Placements are of high quality, mneeds and provide best value arrangements.	expected to enable the rance to ensure that eet individual children's
Is an Equality Analysis i been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Not required

Service Name:			School Improvement			
Which 'start y relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2020/2021		
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£15.308	m	
Income 2018/19					n High Needs	
					n General fund	
Net budget 201	8/19			£6.878n		
				1 1 2 1 2 1	·-	
Budget Change	1				0000100	
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£n			<u>:m</u>	£m	£m
0.000	-0.4	50	0.0	000	0.000	-0.450
FTE implication						
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	TB	С	0.	.00	0.00	TBC
Investment Rec	quired (In	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£n	n	£	:m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	0.000
	Reduce core			ers team velopmer	of advisers, fo nt work with scho	llowing greater
Impact upon other LCC s service users external partne	ervices, s and	education budget for Children Looked After and C				
Actions need deliver the change	led to service		of edu are Leav		udgets for Childre	en Looked After

	Restructure of remaining areas of school improvement including required consultation with staff and trade unions.					
Is external	No					
consultation required What are the risks	Risks					
associated with this						
change and how will they be mitigated	The monitoring arrangements (performance, Head Teacher appointments etc) are dependent upon good relationships with a high level of buy back from schools which provides detailed information on school performance. If this were not sustained the offer would need to be revised.					
	A reduction in support for schools in disadvantaged areas, often those facing budgetary challenges, affects specific parts of Lancashire and particular communities disproportionately (eg Black and Minority Ethnic groups, Free School Meals pupils and their families)					
	Mitigation:					
	 We will develop the concept of partnership development work with school leaders (2019 – 2020) to pave the way for this move. We will advertise and interview potential candidates (as is current practice), then ensure proper induction arrangements. 					
Is an Equality Analysis in undertaken?	required and, if so, has one been Not required					

Service Name:	Service Name: Exchequer						
Gross budget 2018/19				£4.390m			
Income 2018/19				£1.944m			
Net budget 201				£2.446m	<u>.</u> า		
				122111111	<u>-</u>		
Budget Change	and Dra	filing /s	liaarata	//OOK/			
Budget Change 2019/20	2020			year): 21/22	2022	122	Total
£m	2020 £r			21/22 2m	2022/ £m	_	£m
-2.750				000	0.00		-2.750
-2.750	0.0	00	0.	000	0.00	<i>,</i>	-2.730
FTE implication	16.						
2019/20	2020	1/21	202	21/22	2022	123	Total
6.00	0.0			.00	0.00		6.00
0.00	0.0	<i>,</i>		.00	0.00	U	0.00
Investment Required (Invest to Save): An investment in additional financial assessment staff will be needed on a recurre basis to ensure adequately trained staff are available to ensure financi reassessments are undertaken on a regular basis.							ensure financial
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022	/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	îm .	£m	1	£m
0.250	0.0	00	0.	000	0.00	00	0.250
Decisions need deliver the bud savings		financia county	al reasse council	essments	on a regul the appro	lar basis	f to undertake s and ensure the ontributions due
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, ind irs	None.					atoff to deliver
Actions needed deliver the service change		Recruit additional financial assessment staff to deliver additional financial re-assessments and ensurappropriate charges are levied.					
Is external		No					
consultation re							
What are the risks Financial assessment staff						•	skills cannot be
associated with this recruited in the				required	timeframe	€.	
change and how will							
they be mitigate	ed				•		am to maximise undertaken.
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken? http://intranet.ad.lancscc.net/a-z/equality-analysis/						ot required	

Service Name:			Corporate Finance			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£23.432	m	
Income 2018/19)			£0.000m	า	
Net budget 201	8/19			£23.432	m	
Budget Change	and Pro	ofiling (di	iscrete	year):		
2019/20	2020)/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	:m	£m	£m
-2.300	0.1	00	0.	300	0.000	-1.900
FTE implication	ns: 2020	1/24	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0			.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.0)O	U	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Rec	quired (Ir	vest to S	Save):			
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000
Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings The forecast Minimal charge is determined finance the Capital for the capital exploorrowing. The Capital Programmer which has involved resulted in a re-phestimated asset limber MRP forecasts to an asset is written accordance with fillives will be determined to the capital for the capital for the capital explorior which has involved resulted in a re-phestimated asset limber forecasts to an asset is written accordance with fillives will be determined to the capital for the capital for the capital for the capital for the capital explorior which has involved resulted in a re-phestimated asset limber for the capital explorior which has involved resulted in a re-phestimated asset limber for the capital exploration.				mined by bital Programme lived the rephasing to ensuratite down the financial determine	the cumulative becamme and the assure which has been subjected by the control of the use of borrouse any borrowing on over its expended for each assed for each asset and the control of t	ect to a review set type and has owing. A default ently used in the secured against acted life and in stimates of asset set class where
years. Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners						

Actions needed to deliver the service change Is external consultation required	The change can be made within exfor very long term assets profession required No			
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	The Capital Programme consists of assets with varying lives which are not necessarily known when estimating the MRP. The external auditor will be kept informed of our decisions and provided with evidence of compliance with the required regulations. Professional certification of asset life estimates will be used where appropriate.			
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken? http://intranet.ad.lancscc .	not required			

Service Name:			Corporate Finance					
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20					
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£23.546	3m			
Income 2018/19				£7.940r				
Net budget 201				£15.606				
		6:1: /						
Budget Change								
2019/20	2020		202	21/22	2022	/23	Total	
£m	£r			Em	£m		£m	
-2.000	-0.0	00	-0.	.000	-0.00	00	-2.000	
FTE implication	ne:							
2019/20	2020	1/24	202	21/22	2022	123	Total	
0.00	0.0			.00	0.00		0.00	
0.00	0.0	<i>,</i>		.00	0.00	<u> </u>	0.00	
Investment Red	auired (Ir	vest to	Save):					
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022	/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	2m	£m)	£m	
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.00	00	0.000	
Decisions need deliver the bud				22m target to be achieved through increased ent return and/or reduced cost of borrowing.				
savings Impact upon service, No other LCC services, service users and external partners								
Actions needed deliver the service change							ents to generate rrent net return.	
Is external consultation re	quired	No						
What are the risassociated with change and ho they be mitigat	sks n this w will	Fixed return investments offer lower yields. Higher yiel investments may involve investments with lower liquor greater volatility in returns. The overall investment and borrowing portfolio will constructed to achieve balance between fixed and vari returns and will include assets which are sufficiently light					th lower liquidity portfolio will be ixed and variable	
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has been undertaken? http://intranet.ad.lancscc.net/a-z/equality-analysis				·		r	not required	

Service Name:				Child Protection Legal Services Legal Fees/Disbursements				
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20					
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£5.393n	n			
Income 2018/19				£0.000m				
Net budget 201	8/19			£5.393n	1			
Budget Change	and Pro	ofiling (d	iscrete	year):				
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£r	n		:m	£m	£m		
-0.393	-0.3	93	0.	000	0.000	-0.786		
FTE implication	1							
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total		
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00		
larra et area et De e	!		0\-					
Investment Rec 2019/20	quirea (ir 2020			21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	2020 £r			21/22 2m	£m	£m		
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000		
0.000	0.0		<u> </u>		0.000	0.000		
deliver the budgeted practice by ens more quickly				ildren's Social Care services to streamline suring files and assessments are completed so that the number of case management educed and final outcomes for children and peeded up.				
Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, ind	Children's social care practitioners would need to retheir working practices. It would help free up court time.						
Actions needed deliver the service change	rice	Continued close working between Legal and Children's Services to implement the necessary changes in work practices. The proposed new pre-proceedings protocowill help improve current practice. No						
What are the ris	to working practing and how will y be mitigated saving is base			ctices and senior ma d on maxi	imum possible re	on and duction in court		
		nearing	ıs — son	ie additio	nal hearings may	be necessary.		

Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one	Not required
been undertaken?	

Service Name:			Child Protection Legal Services Reduction of 25 Public Law Outline cases				
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2020/21				
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£5.393n	n		
Income 2018/19				£0.000n	n		
Net budget 201	8/19			£5.393n	n		
				'			
Deciderat Observation	d D	£::: /-	l! 4 -				
Budget Change					0000/00	Total	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r		-	<u>Em</u>	£m	£m	
0.000	-0.3	21	0.	000	0.000	-0.321	
FTE implication						1	
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Red	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):				
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	:m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000	
deliver the budgeted savings the			Children's services have put forward a proposal to reduce the number of Public Law Outline cases by 25. If the number of cases going before the court is reduced then the disbursement costs associated with the case are saved.				
Impact upon se	ervice,	Childre	n's Soc	ial Care	change in pract	ice to put more	
other LCC serv		emphasis on working more proactively with families at an					
service users a		earlier stage to avoid the need for this level of intervention.					
external partne	ers		Č				
		It would	d help fr	ee up cou	urt time.		
Actions needed		1			g between Legal a		
deliver the serv	/ice	Service	es to cha	ange curre	ent working pract	ices.	
change							
Is external		No					
	nsultation required						
					cross the country		
					may continue so		
			manage to achieve our goals in terms of managing risk				
they be mitigat	ed	been ta unachi	manage to achieve our goals in terms of managing and keeping families together that may otherwise have been taken through the public law process, the savings unachievable because of continuing increases. Finding from demand analysis work will inform next steps. Successions				

	will depend upon the work that 0 terms of reviewing risk and practidentified as part of developm Parenting Strategy and the Improvement Plan.	tice, including the work ent of the Corporate
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	Not required	

Service Name:				Child Protection Legal Services Police Disclosure costs			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20				
Gross budget 2018/19				£5.393n	า		
Income 2018/19				£0.000n	า		
Net budget 201	8/19			£5.393n	า		
Budget Change	and Dro	filing (c	liecroto	voar):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m	
-0.021	0.0			000	0.000	-0.021	
0.021	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.021	
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0		_	.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00	0.0	,,,		.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Rec	nuired (In	vest to	Save):				
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			.m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000	
0.000	0.0		0.	000	0.000	0.000	
deliver the bud savings	to reco from th We est £21k	ver as n ird partic imate w in contr	nuch of a es listed i e would b	protection cases proportion of this n the case by the se able to receive from the other rear.	cost as possible end of 2019/20. r in the region of		
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners				•			
			The local authority now obtains police disclosure on the majority of public law cases.				
management I provision within			ects an order for disclosure at the first case hearing and the local authority will request nin this order for the cost of obtaining the be shared between the parties.				
				court will consider this to be a reasonable half of the local authority and grant this order.			
The mother, copies of the p				olice disc be shar	d Children's guar losure and we ar ed between the uthority legal tear	e requesting the ese parties and	

Is external consultation required	No				
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	There may be a reluctance on the part of third parties to contribute to this especially if the party is not publicly funded. Work needs to be done to persuade the courts to adopt this as a standard order by ensuring it is viewed as beneficial to the process				
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken? Not required					

Service Name:				Democratic Services – Freeze Annual Uplift			
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£1.295n	า		
Income 2018/19				£0.000n	า		
Net budget 201	8/19			£1.295n	า		
				1			
Dudget Change	and Due	£:::	!!				
Budget Change					0000/00	T-4-1	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			îm	£m	£m	
-0.013	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	-0.013	
FTE implication							
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Rec			Save):			1	
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	Em	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.000	
Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings		Responsibility Allowances in accordance with staff pay increases could be frozen for one or more years. This would also achieve a further saving in 2019/20 of £12,925 (based on a 1% increase).					
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners		No direct impact.					
Actions needed to deliver the service change		All decisions relating to allowances and expenses must be considered by the Independent Remuneration Panel and their recommendations taken into account by Full Council when determining the level of allowances.					
Is external consultation re	No						
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated		Fewer or lower quality candidates coming forward for election. Mitigation: It is unclear that the level of remuneration is a major factor in whether candidates stand for election. The quality of candidates is a matter for political groups. The Council will, in any scenario, have 84 councillors (as recently confirmed through the boundary review prior to the last election).					

	Councillors less willing or able to council business Mitigation: An accompanying bureduce the number of meetings underway on rolling out a Casewo for Councillors, which should encasework more efficiently.	udget option seeks to s. Additionally, work is rk Management System
Is an Equality Analysis representations of the second seco	Not required	

Service Name:				Democratic Services – Member Subsistence			
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£0.005m	1		
Income 2018/19				£0.000m	1		
Net budget 201	8/19			£0.005m	1		
Budget Change	e and Pro	ofiling (c	liscrete	vear):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	2m	£m	£m	
-0.005	0.0			000	0.000	-0.005	
0.000	0.0		<u> </u>		0.000	0.000	
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0			.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00					0.00	0.00	
Investment Red	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):				
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			2m	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000	
deliver the bud savings	expend	diture on	ı subsister	nce.			
Impact upon se other LCC service users a external partne	No direct impact						
Actions needed deliver the service change	All decisions relating to allowances and expenses must be considered by the Independent Remuneration Panel and their recommendations taken into account by Full Council when determining the level of allowances.						
Is external consultation re	No						
What are the risassociated with change and ho they be mitigat	Fewer or lower quality candidates coming forward for election. Mitigation: It is unclear that the level of remuneration is a major factor in whether candidates stand for election. The quality of candidates is a matter for political groups. The Council will, in any scenario, have 84 councillors (as recently confirmed through the boundary review prior to						
			t election		gir tile bourlaary i	CVICW PHOLIO	

Councillors less willing or able to devote as much time to council business

Mitigation: An accompanying budget option seeks to reduce the number of meetings. Additionally, work is underway on rolling out a Casework Management System for Councillors, which should enable them to deal with casework more efficiently.

Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has or	ne
been undertaken?	

Not required

				_		
Service Name:				Facilities Management		
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2 2022/23		•		2019/20		
Gross budget 2	018/19			£28.932	l'm	
Income 2018/19				£9.396n	n	
Net budget 201	8/19			£19.536	im	
Rudgot Chango	and Dro	filing (d	licaroto	voar):		
Budget Change 2019/20	2020			year). 21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			îm	£m	£m
-0.040	0.0			000	0.000	0.040
0.010	0.0		<u> </u>		0.000	0.010
FTE implication	ıs:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00
	nvestment Required (Invest to Save):					
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r		£m		£m	£m
0.030	0.000			000	0.000 Safety improveme	0.030
Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings		Decision weeken	on to rands on A	reinstate Arthur Storoduce ch	charges for pucar park at County	blic parking at
for Registratio County Hall weekends for p			Hall nds for p	midweek oublic par	for client app king.	pointments and
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners		The Lancashire County Council Parking Services team systems could be integrated with Facilities Management to manage enforcement and revenue collection. Further exploration is required.				
cus ser alte			ers are and tive trav egistrat ints but	not willi some us el or park ion Serv	ervice income mang to pay for passers may compaing arrangements ice may be affige should have m	urking to access plain and seek s. ected by clien

Actions needed to deliver the service	Procure a mechanism to support p	parking charges.					
change	Apply for respective legal orders to allow for respection charges to be levied.						
	Undertake minimum health and improvements on car parks. • Install signage to notify chand conditions						
Is external consultation required	No						
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	As exists with all our parking facilities, there is a risks from potential litigation e.g. members of the public having accidents on our car parks or suffering stolen or damaged vehicles and making claims against Lancashire County Council. This will be mitigated by ensuring adequate signage with disclaimers, maintenance of existing CCTV, and regular inspections of car park conditions.						
	Risk of loss of income to the Records Archive Service if customers are not willing to pay for parking to access service although users may seek alternative travel or parking arrangements.						
	Archive service to manage the expectations of service users.						
	Charging may help to mitigate against other users who are not accessing services e.g. shoppers and provide more regular availability for service users.						
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Yes - completed					

Service Name:			Adult Social Care Community (Older People (OP) / Physical Disability (PD))			
Which 'start year relate to 2019/20 2022/23				2019/20)	
Gross budget 20	18/19*			£113.31	1m	
Income 2018/19*				£48.052	?m	
Net budget 2018	/19*			£65.259)m	
*The budget repres					t for OP/PD	
Budget Change						
2019/20		0/21		21/22	2022/23	Total
£m		m		<u>:</u> m	£m	£m
-0.680	-1.6	670	-1.	.020	-0.020	-3.390
FTE implications):					
2019/20		0/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00		00		.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	<u> </u>				0.00	1 0.00
Investment Requ	ired (In	vest to	Save):			
2019/20		0/21		21/22	2022/23	Total
£m		m	-	îm	£m	£m
0.000		000	0.000		0.000	0.000
and to determine way	vorst cas	se scenai	rios and ı	will be refir	ned following testin	ng.
Decisions neede deliver the budg savings	To approve the intention to reduce the number of admissions to residential care by Adult Social Care Community Teams					
Impact upon ser other LCC service service users an external partners	Interface with Commissioning where unmet need is identified and to commission new services that provide an alternative to long term residential care. Possible impact on residential care sector.					
Actions needed deliver the service change	Determine a baseline and performance targets that bring Lancashire in line with our comparative authorities. These will then be used to monitor and report performance. To identify authorities to benchmark against and look at best practice in high performing authorities. A county residential forum will add the necessary rigour and evidence in relation to:					
	 Decision making – evidencing that the following options have been explored and that clear evidence is demonstrated within the assessment: 					

- o Divert to step up beds/intermediate care
- Extra care
- Supported living
- Night time support
- Shared Lives
- o Respite
- Considered equipment need/telecare and use of occupational therapists to explore alternatives that enable an individual's social care needs to be met outside of residential care.
- Identified unmet need.
- A clear feedback process to inform commissioning.
- It will also improve practice and accuracy of recording and reporting.

Policy / Practice change

In order to maintain a person within their own home rather than facilitate admission to residential care there will need to be a review of the county's policy around the 'reasonable offer' which governs the personal budget that is offered to individuals to maintain them at home.

There will need to be clear guidance for operational staff.

Is external consultation required

No

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated

Increased demand and lack of alternative resource to meet social care need outside of residential care.

Mitigation: to have a clear process to enable the service to inform commissioning what is needed and for the service to be involved in the commissioning of the required service.

Increased spend on domiciliary care.

Mitigation: The policy will make the message to staff clearer and make clear when an increase in spend is justifiable.

Challenge from service users and their families who believe residential care is the best option.

Mitigation: Better information from the start of the process and residential care not be offered without social care involvement.

Challenge from partners such as Acute due to competing pressures leading to a discharge that does not meet with the ideal outcome.

Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one	Yes - completed
been undertaken?	

Service Name:				Home care for older adults and people with physical disabilities – promoting single-handed care			
Which 'start year relate to 2019/2020/23				2019/20			
Gross budget 2	018/19			N/A			
Income 2018/19				N/A			
Net budget 2018	3/19			£16.620	m		
		s for the	e cohort d	of service (users effected by th	nis proposal	
Budget Change							
2019/20	2020/2	21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£m			m	£m	£m	
-0.307	-1.07	9	-0.	818	-0.041	-2.245	
FTE implication Recurrent:							
2019/20	2020/2	21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total	
3.00	0.00		0.	.00	0.00	3.00	
Temporary:							
2019/20	2020/2	21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total	
6.00	0.00		-6	.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Req Recurrent: 2019/20	uired (Inv 2020/2		•	1/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£m			m	£m	£m	
0.323	0.000	0.000		000	0.000	0.323	
Temporary:							
2019/20	2020/2	21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total	
0.142	0.142	2	0.0	000	0.000	0.284	
The above figures include projected staffing costs, equipment costs and training costs Investment is also required on a one-off basis in order to incentivise independent domiciliary care providers in order that we can progress this project and reach its full potential. Without the openness to change and work differently by providers, the savings and future avoided costs will not be realised. Incentivising the providers will be in the form of provision of training, backfill funding for training, and costs of additional review and risk assessment activity incurred for the provider with each of the 800+ existing services users in receipt of 2 carer packages of care. Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings Approve that all existing double-handed care packages (i.e. care provided by more than one worker for each visit) will be reviewed with the aim of replacing visits with single- handed care and appropriate equipment where this would continue to be safe and meet the person's eligible needs and outcomes; Approve that all new double-handed care packages are for a time limited period only – normally for a maximum period							

will be undertaken on the same basis as set in point 1 above

Endorse the creation of a temporary dedicated singlehanded care team on an invest to save basis to lead the delivery of the above activities the cost of which is included in the above investment figures.

Endorse the creation of 3 permanent Grade 9 OTs to take on the new incoming work (analysis shows this averages at 56 new presentations each month) simultaneous to the review work to ensure the 'review list' doesn't increase, but also to avoid costs much earlier in the process. These posts are required on an ongoing basis as these assessments will always be needed, alongside coaching of internal and external staff, promoting culture change and thinking, and providing a critical expert capacity.

Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners

It is expected that there will be three key positive benefits as set out below:

- Impact on service users people will have a better experience of care because it will be more personalised and dignified.
- 2) **Impact on the workforce** it will free-up much needed care worker capacity in a market that has staff recruitment and retention challenges.
- 3) **Impact on the council's costs** double-handed care visits cost twice as much as single-handed care visits, hence the above projected budget reductions.

The other expected impacts are as follows:

- Home care providers some providers will see this as a loss of business to them and against their own moving and handling policies and procedures. Other providers will see it as a positive as it will freeup their care worker capacity.
- Reablement services promoting single-handed care must also be embedded in reablement services in terms of both the council's own teams and external providers. Potential for impact on Reablement hours capacity should all new doublehanded care commissions have a period of Reablement first. Will be monitored and action taken if necessary.
- Community equipment increased expenditure and activity on the provision of moving and handling equipment will occur. There will also be additional servicing and maintenance costs and related administration, including for ceiling track hoists supplied under the council's contract.

	 increase in Disabled occupational therapis hoists. NHS – there may ne care training for allie commissioning grou community equipme 	they will experience a modest Facilities Grant requests from sts, particularly for ceiling track end to be some single-handed d health professionals; clinical ps may also incur additional ant costs; and freeing-up care fill help to reduce delayed				
Actions needed to deliver the service change	The key required actions and steps if this proposal is to be taken forward are as follows: 1) Develop governance arrangements 2) Establish and recruit to single-handed care team 3) Develop and implement staff training plan 4) Produce new policy and procedures 5) Stakeholder communication and engagement 6) Produce benefits management framework 7) Commence reviews of existing cases 8) Develop pathways to embed in practice for new cases. A change in culture and practice by Adult Services, the					
	and 8 in particular would he	I be essential – actions 3, 4, 5 lp to achieve this.				
Is external consultation required	No					
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Risk Lack of resources to deliver the project Unable to recruit occupational therapists	Mitigation Establish dedicated single-handed care team Recruit at grade 9 given the complexity of the work and line management responsibilities to the Social Care Support Officer, and use existing networks				
	Lack of progress until recruitment takes place	Some limited work is already underway with a community Occupational Therapist specialist in Central Lancs linking to work with people coming through Reablement with double-handed care. To				

	Resistance from service	recruitment to the temporary team. (will involve reducing capacity for other elements of work for a temporary period) Develop case studies and
	users and their families	promote positive impact
	Resistance from home care providers	Use co-production and new contractual conditions
	Resistance from other key partners	Implement communication and engagement plan
Is an Equality Analysis rone been undertaken?	equired and, if so, has	Yes - completed

Service Name:				Changes in Night Time Support rate for commissioned services		
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£152.04	3m	
Income 2018/19	-			£12.668		
Net budget 201	8/19			£139.37	'5m	
Budget Change	e and Pro	ofiling (c	discrete	year):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			<u>Em</u>	£m	£m
-6.000	-0.9	000	0.	000	0.000	-6.900
PPP 1 11 /1						
FTE implication		\/O4	000	24/00	0000/00	T-4-1
2019/20 0.00	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total 0.00
0.00	0.0)0	U	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Rec	nuired (Ir	vest to	Save).			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r		.	Em	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			000	0.000	0.000
Decisions need deliver the bud savings	To pay subsect sleep-it £36.08 payme costs).	r service quently in n terms staff p nt (after transi	mirrors) the and concept and concept and concept and allowing for all allowing for	s a sleep-in fee the county council ditions. For 2019 and equates to for national insural eriod 1st April 73 (total payment	's in-house staff /20 this is set at £47.43 provider nce and pension 2019 to 30 th	
payment to staff of £45 per shift) reduction and allow time for service new staff terms and conditions.				per shift) in ord for service provid ditions.	ler to phase the ers to implement	
			ption relates to fees and does not require a nature of services being delivered.			

Actions needed to deliver the service change

- LancashireCounty Council to communicate with providers regarding the final decision immediately following Cabinet in December 2018.
- Payment processing systems to be updated following: Rates to change in April 2019 (incorporating transitional arrangements to 'top up 'the rates from 1st April to 30th September 2019).

Is external consultation required

No external consultation is required; existing contracts permit annual rate reviews without the need for consultation.

However, during September 2018 the County Council held and informal meeting with 9 of our largest supported living providers to seek their views and potential implications of a reduction in sleep-in fees. Key points from this meeting are as follows:

- The rate paid to providers should consider the impact on staff retention and the ability to deliver safe services and therefore allow them to pay staff at least £45 per night, which would equate to £59 per night to providers inclusive of on-costs.
- We should await the outcome of whether Unison's Appeal has been granted before putting forwards a final position.
- We should not implement any changes in the current financial year and April 2019 would be the earliest possible date they could complete a consultation period with affected staff.
- We should consider a phased reduction to allow provider employees affected by this change time to adjust to a lower take home pay.

What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated

Rationale for Change

On 13th July 2018 the Court of Appeal issued its decision in Royal Mencap Society and Tomlinson-Blake ruling:

"....carers who work sleep-in shifts at a client's residence and who are 'on call' are not entitled to the National Minimum Wage for periods whilst they are asleep."

In April 2016 Lancashire County Council changed the basis of its sleep-in fees to reflect all sleeping hours counting towards national living wage. As a result of this decision we may return to paying a flat rate fee.

Supreme Court Appeal

The Court of Appeal decision represents the current interpretation of the law. However, it may not be the final

74

¹ <u>https://www.walkermorris.co.uk/publications/a-bright-line-decision-court-of-appeal-rules-in-mencap-sleep-in-shift-case/</u>

position in relation to sleep-in rates of pay and The Supreme Court could overturn the Court of Appeal decision.

The decision as to whether the Supreme Court will grant Unison the right to Appeal the July ruling has not been announced² but is expected imminently. If leave to appeal is granted, it will be 2019 before the Supreme Court hears the case and a decision may not be reached before 2020.

Should the Supreme Court overturn the Court of Appeal decision, there is a risk that the decision is backdated meaning providers are faced with significant financial liabilities.

Mitigation

Should this situation occur this is no mitigation and Lancashire County Council and the adult social care sector will be required to find a solution to prevent significant disruption to the market However this would be a national issue

Recruitment & Retention

A reduction in our night time sleep in fee will ultimately translate to a reduction in provider staff take home pay and potentially impact on providers' ability to recruit and retain staff.

Mitigation

Issues relating to recruitment and retention as a whole within the adult social care sector are reviewed via the Health and Social Care Partnership.

Provider Financial Stability

Some providers may have changed their terms of employment with staff and therefore any change to sleep-in payments may be more difficult for these providers to implement and manage.

If they cannot match changes to their staff terms and conditions with changes in LCC fees they face increased risk of financial instability.

Mitigation

In response to feedback gained during information informal discussion with providers (see later) Lancashire County Council is proposing to phase the reduction in fees.

Is an Equality Analysis required and,

NOT REQUIRED:

² As at 29th October 2018

if so, has one been undertaken?

In taking this decision the County Council is reflecting on the July 2018 Court of Appeal decision in relation to payment for sleep-in services whereby:

"care workers doing sleep-in shifts are only entitled to the NMW when they are required, because they need to undertake a specific activity, to actually be awake"

We accept that sleep-ins are delivered to service users with protected characteristics and acknowledge the potential adverse impact on providers. However, given the county councils financial position we consider it appropriate to adjust our fee in line with current legal rulings.

Service Name: Adult Services (Mental Health and LD&A Joint Budget Options)	Modernisation of Supported Housing
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23	2019/20
Gross budget 2018/19	£152.043m
Income 2018/19	£12.668m
Net budget 2018/19	£139.375m

Budget Change and Profiling (discrete year):

Daaget Gilange	ana roming (c				
2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£m £m		£m	£m	
-0.158	-1.131	-1.303	-1.303	-3.895	

Savings span a 5 year period over this current timeframe with a total potential saving of target £6.6m stretch £9.9m

FTE implications:

Te	m	ทด	ra	rv	

2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
13.00	0.00	-13.00	0.00	0.00

Investment Required (Invest to Save):

Establish a separate team of Social Workers dedicated to the management of the transfer of adults with learning disabilities, autism or mental health needs into flat schemes from other service settings e.g. from residential care or shared housing settings..

- 1 Team Manager (Grade 10)
- 1 Senior Social Worker (Grade 9)
- 6 Social Workers (Grade 8)
- 3 Social Care Support Officers (Grade 6)

In addition, 2 Grade 12 posts (or Consultancy Equivalent) for 2 years to lead on the strategic development of the new Models of Support through development with Housing Associations & Developers and Strategic Housing Leads.

Temporary:

2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total £m	
£m	£m	£m	£m		
0.529	0.529	0.000	0.000	1.058	

Decisions needed to deliver the budgeted savings

In line with Lancashire's Care and Support Strategy 2018 – 2025, and the Council's recently approved Vision

document, entitled "Care, Support and Wellbeing of Adults in Lancashire'

1. Approve the 'Vision' to modernise the provision of supported housing and offer more flat schemes with a recognition that many people's expectations are to live

- in their own self-contained accommodation with their own front door with good access to community facilities.
- 2. Approve the approach to decommission some 1,2 and 3 person tenancies for those people to move to flat schemes
- 3. Approve the establishment of a social work team, specific to this modernisation work
- 4. Approve the establishment of 2 Grade 12 posts/Consultancy Equivalent for 2 years as Strategic Leads for this work.
- 5. Approve an under occupancy policy to manage the significant voids in supported housing

Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners

 Service – the vast majority of supported housing is currently represented by shared households. This proposal will change the balance of provision by providing more flat scheme accommodation. The number of shared houses will reduce, particularly those for less than 4 people and single tenancies.

Alongside this, the proposal will look at how night support is delivered across all supported housing types, with a view to reducing or removing staff support in some settings and replacing with more flexible and innovative methods, e.g. telecare assistive technology, roving night support.

- Citizens with disabilities or mental health needs –
 The development of new flat scheme accommodation will present opportunities for people to move out of their current setting to new purpose built flat schemes which would offer cost savings to the Council. This could be from residential care or from shared housing. Service users will have more choice about where they live. The following priority groups have been identified:
 - a) Individuals currently supported in unsuitable or high cost single tenancies
 - b) Individuals currently supported in 1/2/3 person tenancies where there are higher support costs (compared to flat schemes) and/or compatibility issues between service users.
 - c) Individuals in under occupied properties to reduce the replacement of housing benefit revenues due to vacancies and support void costs. Implementing the use of the under occupancy policy where appropriate.
 - d) Individuals currently supported in residential care who may want to return to Lancashire if placed out of County or may be unaware of alternative models of support available
 - e) Individuals with urgent risks & safeguarding issues

f) New demand e.g.young people transitioning from children's services

In some settings (typically shared housing), overnight staff presence will reduce or be removed altogether if there is no identified need or where the needs can be met by other means, e.g. telecare, roving night support.

 External Partners - to work with care and support providers and housing providers/developers to build a range of high quality Housing with Care and Support schemes across Lancashire and to work with current providers to identify more efficient and effective ways of delivering support.

Actions needed to deliver the service change

- A strategic review of current flat schemes to identify gaps in provision across the county.
- Identify service users in residential care and shared housing (in particular, 1, 2 and 3 person tenancies) to move to flat scheme accommodation
- Produce a specification, listing key requirements for new flat schemes with regard to factors such as size and location.
- Develop a Supported Housing approved list of care and support providers meeting a quality threshold.
- Remodelling of some existing flat schemes and enabling them to realise their full potential, including changing how placements are commissioned with a much more transparent and equitable model.
- Change the way supported housing is commissioned, moving to a model whereby all service users contribute equally towards background support. Apply this model to all new and existing schemes
- Having an open dialogue with district councils and housing developers to identify suitable sites for new build schemes
- Establish a separate and distinct social work team to manage and facilitate service users moving to new flat schemes. This will involve service users moving from residential care and shared housing.
- Develop a decommissioning plan for housing which is of poor quality or unsuitable to meet people's needs and where there are long standing vacancies, in line with the Under occupancy Policy
- Only commission residential care as a last resort
- Map current night support look for patterns and close proximity. Night time support is provided on an individual house basis but there is an opportunity to look more strategically at sharing night time support between a number of houses in close proximity – either with the same provider or with different providers.

	 Improve access to technology e.g. telecare, big button press system to alert workers and or other monitoring/reporting systems for night time support Look to provider innovation around proposals for night time support. Providers have volunteered proposals on an ad hoc basis around reductions in support. This needs to be formally communicated to the provider market and introduce incentives for providers to come forward with proposals.
Is external	No

What are the risks Risk Mitigation associated with this change and how will 1. It is intended that Research with other they be mitigated housing providers/social Councils and preliminary landlords will invest and discussions with local build the flat schemes with housing providers has no financial contribution shown housing providers from the Council, either for are fully prepared to accept build costs or for payment the financial risks when of rent for vacancies. The working in partnership with risk therefore is that this is the Council. unacceptable for developers to proceed. 2. People do not move In order to maximise the from their current setting accommodation options for into new flat schemes people, this will require a including resistance to partnership approach from change from service users, service users. carers. providers families, etc service and statutory agencies and so will require a communication strategy that ensures the correct people are fully informed and enabled to have a voice during this period of change. Also, the impact to people who could potentially be identified as suitable for moving into flat schemes would need to be addressed. Advocacy services will be required for some service users and best interest decisions may need to be taken which would have implications for the length of time this would

take.

	A tenant will not give notice on a tenancy until a suitable alternative has been identified and a timescale for moving agreed with all parties.
3.National guidance, e.g. 'Building the Right Home' guidance in 2016 states that "Housing with 6 or more people can quickly become institutionalised and Commissioners should carefully consider the service design when creating schemes of multiple units within close proximity to ensure the service enables the tenants to have control over where they live and who provides their support" Size of schemes is also reflected in the national guidance 'Building the Right Support' issued in 2015 and endorsed by the Care Quality Commission who work to these guidelines when dealing with registration requirements of supported	Having ongoing discussions with CQC. Also, research with other Councils has shown that if the scheme is built with the correct ethos in line with national guidance then larger schemes are acceptable.
housing schemes. 4.The anticipated savings are not realised due to unexpected costs	Being clear at the outset around the model of support for background and individual support and having signed agreements with providers around issues such as no financial responsibility for vacancies.
5. If there are any mental capacity issues around a proposed move to a flat scheme, this could involve the Court of Protection and lengthen timescales which may then impact on keeping the vacancy open	Involving mediation/advocacy at an early stage may prevent the need for more formal proceedings

	until the issues are resolved		
	6. Lack of staff resources to carry out assessments and facilitate moves to flat scheme accommodation	socia	ruitment of a specific al work team with a ned remit for this project
	7. Legal implications in relation to Housing Management Agreements (HMA) or Support Contracts - In some circumstances there may be legally binding obligations within an HMA or a Support Contract which prevent the Local Authority or Support Provider from ending the HMA early.		should not prevent sideration being given to suitability of an idual to remain in a ncy if it does not meet needs. The Terms of a HMA will be subject to ew and where possible IMA will be gotiated with the sing Provider to ensure it is fit for purpose.
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one		Yes

Service Name: Learning Disability & Autism - Enablement			Enablement			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£152.04	3m	
Income 2018/19				£12.688	m	
Net budget 2 2017/18*	2018/19	(Net I	oudget	£139.37	5m	
*Total LDA com pooled fund bu		ed care	within			
Budget Change	and Pro	ofiling (d	liscrete	year):		
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	:m	£m	£m
0.000	-0.5	79	-0.	785	-0.009	-1.373
FTE implication	ns:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Red Recurrent:	quired (Ir	vest to	Save):			
2019/20	2020)/21	202	1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
0.000	0.0			540	0.000	0.540
*Please note that included.	this is an	extensio	on to the	funding o	f current staff – th	erefore no FTE is
Decisions need deliver the bud savings			_		t Service to cont ble to live more in	
other LCC services, service users and external partners It may reduce group, but it is			e on foon in pa reduce in but it wi	ormal pai ckages of ncome for Il also fred esteem a	d care and thu f care. some providers of e up some workfo	orce capacity. those benefitting
deliver the service deliver further				nsion of a		affed service to communication

	providers as part of the learning di	sability passport to					
	, ,	sability passport to					
	independence programme.	· · · ·					
Is external	No						
consultation required							
What are the risks	That the numbers and volumes of	referrals are not					
associated with this	sufficient or that the level of average	ge package reduction is					
change and how will	not as predicted.						
they be mitigated	not de producted.						
	The mitigation is that demand proj adult services have been undertak findings are that there opportunitie independence in adults and reduce the enablement approach.	en. That the current s to increase					
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	No, one is not required, this is an extension of budget					
		option ASC006					
		Cabinet approved in					
		September 2017.					

Service Name: Learning Disability and Autism Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			1	Acceleration of Disability Service Supported Living Remodelling			
			2019/20				
Gross budget 2	018/19			£152.04	3m		
Income 2018/19				£12.688	m		
Net budget 2018	8/19			£139.37	5m		
Budget Change	and Pro	ofiling (d	liscrete	year):			
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r	n	£	îm .	£m	£m	
0.000	-0.2	236	-0.	242	0.000	-0.478	
FTE implication	s:						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
11.00	0.0	00	-1 ⁻	1.00	0.000	0.00	
Investment Req 2019/20	uired (Ir 2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£r			m	£m	£m	
0.432	0.4			000	0.000	0.864	
Decisions need deliver the budg savings		The de profiled by Apr	ecision I to be a il 2021 ces for	required achieved in with add	tember 2017 and upported living set is to bring forward to be seen a 2021/22 so the ditional funding nodelling social ork.	ard the savings y are completed to increase the	
Impact upon se other LCC servi service users a external partner	continue However housing by the decisio There care we	e to reer, under grand sindivider individer in	ceive supertaking incurport options in a central control of the central centra	disabilities will a port to live in the dividual reviews rations being identigreed through a in the overall spes of care reducted to restructure	neir own homes. nay lead to other fied and chosen a 'best interest ize of the social ce and providers		

Actions needed to deliver the service change Is external consultation required	 Learning Disability and Autism allocation of staff to undertake review work following already a Work with HR to plan for the w 	assessment and agreed processes.
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	 The work to remodel tenancies and therefore the workforce re earlier than planned and will be than if spread out over the origon remodelling programme. Staffing mitigated by vacancy manager. Alternative housing options to vision for housing may not have faster pace needed to offer altered identified as being desirable. The planning for the implementation support strategy and phasing of programme. Any decisions regarding a charmal involve the Court of Protection be supported. This can delate ensure that decision making checks which are helpful for provulnerable people. 	ductions will occur higher in the period hinal 3 and half year higher reductions will be ment. align with the new he developed at the hernatives, where this is his will be mitigated by high of the housing and high the remodelling ange of accommodation high the remodelling ange of accommodation high the rests of the people high progress, but can also high the remodelling
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Yes, one was completed for the option approved in 2017

Service Name: Disability Service Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			(LCFT) to LCCs	Lancashire Care Foundation Trust (LCFT) supported living scheme transfer to LCCs Disability Service			
			2019/20				
Gross budget 2	018/19		£152.04	l3m			
Income 2018/19			£12.668	3m			
Net budget 2018	8/19		£139.37	'5m			
Budget Change	and Dro	ofilina (discrete	year).				
2019/20	2020		21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£r		£m	£m	£m		
0.000	-1.0		.000	0.000	-1.000		
FTE implication	ıs:						
Recurrent:							
2019/20	2020)/21 20	21/22	2022/23	Total		
1.00	0.0		0.00	0.00	1.00		
Temporary:	0.0	<u> </u>		0.00	1.00		
2019/20	2020)/21 20	21/22	2022/23	Total		
1.00	-1.0	00 (0.00	0.00	0.00		
Investment Req	uired (Ir	vest to Save):					
Recurrent:							
2019/20	2020		21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£r		£m	£m	£m		
0.052	0.0	00 0	.000	0.000	0.052		
Temporary:		VO.4	0.1/0.0	0000/00			
2019/20	2020		21/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£r		£m	£m	£m		
0.058	0.0		.000	0.000	0.088		
	gement s	Support (Grade	11 for 18	3 months) to over ling of all LCC S			
Decisions need deliver the budg savings		Households s Trust to Lan Service.	service fro cashire C	the Care and Suom Lancashire County Council's	care Foundatior Adult Disability		
Cabinet in November approved an additional savin £0.499m that is included as part of this saving propos					•		

The transfer represents an opportunity to progress the Impact upon service. other LCC services. remodelling of the service, with a view to reducing the risk service users and associated with vacancy liabilities for care and rent by external partners implementing the recommendations made by the County Council's Remodelling Team. There is an expectation that Lancashire Care Foundation would achieve some of the remodelling recommendations prior to transfer. Significant support from internal services to transfer current NHS staff to the county council. Further support relating to Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006(TUPE) and pension administration after transfer. Service users and families will have a change of provider and need to be consulted appropriately. Additional service to the existing in-house Disability Service which will have the experience and capacity to manage and will have the additional benefit of being able to include in a remodelling programme. Actions needed to Contract ends 31st March 2019 with LCFT. The deliver the service County Council has formally notified Lancashire change Care Foundation Trust of its intention to transfer the service to the County Council's Disability Service. The county council has contracted for these services under the NHS Standard Contract 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. This contract comes to an end on 31 March 2019. The county council will be required to comply with any exit arrangements within the contract terms and with applicable TUPE employment and pension's legislation. Changes to Lancashire County Council systems for payroll for different terms and conditions. Review of housing management agreements with housing associations. Registration with Care Quality Commission to establish new service in Preston and deregister with NHS. No Is external consultation required What are the risks TUPE liabilities including pension could be greater than associated with this anticipated. Close cooperation from LCFT will be change and how will necessary they be mitigated Tight timescale to complete given the ending of the

contract is in March 2019 alongside management of other multiple savings programmes concurrently, and so

dedicated project management capacity will be a priority	and other leadership
Concerns of families/ service user	s may be significant
Mitigation – full programme mana developed, joint working to delive LCFT including communication so people receiving a service.	er mobilisation plan with
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken?	Not required

Service Name:	Service Name:			Adult Social Care – Reassessing Direct Payments		
	Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20		
Gross budget 2	018/19			£105.22	7m	
Income 2018/19				n/a		
Net budget 201	8/19			£105.22	7m	
	e represen	ts the wh	nole of Ad	dults socia	l care direct payme	ents
Budget Change		ofiling (c	liscrete	year):		
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£	îm.	£m	£m
-1.000	-1.0	00	-0.	500	0.000	-2.500
FTE implication Recurrent:	is:					
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total
2.00	0.0			.00	0.00	2.00
2.00	0.0				0.00	2.00
Investment Rec Recurrent:	-			A 100	0000/00	7.4.1
2019/20	2020		_	21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
0.059	0.0	00	0.	000	0.000	0.059
Decisions need deliver the budges avings	geted	reduce from 10	surplus) weeks	weeks' n to 4 weel		a contingency
other LCC serv service users a external partne	ices, [°] nd	Service users who receive Direct Payment may feel the new arrangements offer them less flexibility. Direct Payment accounts will be monitored to ensure prompt clawback of surpluses, with referral to social care for reassessment where surpluses are likely to be recurrent.				ity. d to ensure al to social care
		Agencies working to support Direct Payment recipient will need to be informed of these proposals so they can provide accurate advice.				
Actions needed deliver the serv change		Increase the frequency of social work reassessment activity to identify where personal budget amounts are in excess of the proven requirements of service users.				amounts are in
Is external		No				
consultation re	quired					

What are the risks associated with this change and how will	There may be reduced flexibility in personal budgets available to some service users for contingencies. A number of weeks' contingency budget will remain with the service user to meet routine volatility in support needs and associated costs. This number will be subject to review but it is likely to be 4 weeks.			
they be mitigated				
	required and, if so, has one ://intranet.ad.lancscc.net/a-	Not required		

Service Name:				Adult Social Care – Promoting Direct Debit		
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23		•		2019/20		
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£432.62	0m	
Income 2018/19	9			£110.086m		
Net budget 201	8/19			£322.53	3m	
client groups					care within Adult so	ocial care for all
Budget Change	1				2222122	
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£r			:m	£m	£m
-0.500	-0.5	000	0.0	000	0.000	-1.000
ETE implication	201					
FTE implication 2019/20	1	104	202	4/22	2022/22	Total
0.00	2020			.00	2022/23 0.00	0.00
0.00	1 0.0	<i>,</i> 0	l U	.00	0.00	0.00
2019/20 £m	2020 £r	n	£	21/22 2m	2022/23 £m	Total £m
0.040	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.000	0.040
Decisions need deliver the bud savings Impact upon se other LCC service users a external partner	geted ervice, rices, and	the primary source of payment for adult social care. ce, For 56% of service users' direct debit is already the			ready the en greater take on costs, but in income nt payment direct debit ount of debt	
Actions needed deliver the service change Is external consultation re	vice		red and o		promotion of direct	debit as the

What are the risks associated with this change and how will	There is a risk that the performance outcomes cannot adequately be delivered.			
they be mitigated	The uptake of direct debits will be monitored to ensure that service users are consistently given the option to pay by direct debit at each stage.			
	required and, if so, has one ://intranet.ad.lancscc.net/a-	Not required		

Service Name:			Adult So Status	ocial Care – Resid	dential Care
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23			2019/20		
Gross budget 2	2018/19		£432.62	20m	
Income 2018/19	<u> </u>				
Net budget 201	8/19		£322.53	3m	
client groups		·		care within Adult so	ocial care for all
Budget Change				2022/22	Total
2019/20	2020/21		21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£m		<u> </u>	£m	£m
-0.700	0.000	0.	000	0.000	-0.700
FTF : !					
FTE implication				0000155	
2019/20	2020/21		21/22	2022/23	Total
0.00	0.00	0	.00	0.00	0.00
Investment Rec	, 				
2019/20	2020/21		21/22	2022/23	Total
£m	£m		<u>Em</u>	£m	£m
0.000	0.000	0.	000	0.000	0.000
Decisions need deliver the bud savings	geted into so we If a unl dec wo Th "te is o can excolor.	o line with the that short the teks. I person is it ess there are med to be uld be for a second mporary as defined as use for long ceptional circular.	ne Care A erm resident ne exception in long long term affect the sidefined up to 52 way ger than cumstance, and char	council's procedure Act's Care and Surfectial care for longer onal circumstance term care, and concern care placements on the Care Act. Weeks. If a person 52 weeks, unles, they would be arged as they would services.	pport Guidance, fined as up to 8 er than 8 weeks, es, they would be charged as they commissioned as Temporary care is in residential less there are deemed to be in
Impact upon se other LCC service users a external partne	rices, con beautiful con con in control con control co	nmissioned en in reside arged as a lo charge but	as short intial care ong term this will imstances	service users term residential for longer than teresident may resube dependent of the control of	care, but have 8 weeks. Being alt in an increase on the person's

	There are no service users commissioned as temporary resid	,					
	There may be implications for the benefits and other allowances that individuals receive.						
Actions needed to deliver the service change	A long term placement Care Package for the service user will be created at the point where a short term residential care placement exceeds 8 weeks, and the short term placement will be ceased.						
	A long term placement Care Pack will be created at the point where care placement exceeds 52 week placement will be ceased.	a temporary residential					
	Publicity material for public and partners and staff						
	Staff training						
Is external consultation required	No						
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	Service users may choose to leave increased assessed charges. How in compliance with the Care Act 20	wever all charges will be					
	There may be increased pressures on home care services.						
	required and, if so, has one //intranet.ad.lancscc.net/a-	Yes					

Service Name:							
Service Name:			Childre	Children & Family Wellbeing (CFW)			
	Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23			2019/20			
Gross budget 2	018/19		£18.23	3m			
Income 2018/19			£3.660	£3.660m			
Net budget 201	8/19		£14.57	3m			
Budget Change	and Profil	ing (disc	rete year):				
2019/20	2020/2	1	2021/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£m		£m	£m	£m		
-0.111	0.000		0.000	0.000	-0.111		
FTE implication	ıs:						
2019/20	2020/2	1	2021/22	2022/23	Total		
0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		
	3.20	<u> </u>					
Investment Req	uired (Inve	est to Sav	/e):				
2019/20	2020/2	1	2021/22	2022/23	Total		
£m	£m		£m	£m	£m		
0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000		
deliver the bude savings	С Д У	Children a April 2015 ear unde	Approval to cease the Small Grant Scheme. The spect budget for this was previously removed (£111k) from Children and Family Wellbeing service revenue budge April 2015. The scheme has since been funded from year underspends against other budgets within service.				
Impact upon se	rvice, T						

Actions needed to deliver the service change	Finance adjustment (reduction) to Communications to public and VC	_
Is external consultation required	No	
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	The risks associated with this optiminimal given the evidence applications for the grant scheme. However, the decision has potent young people may feel unsupport funding and the Voluntary, Commould need to seek alternative fur	of yearly decline in it is it is in it
Is an Equality Analysis been undertaken?	required and, if so, has one	Yes

Service Name:				Bus Network Education Resource			
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23				2019/20			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£0.028m	 າ		
Income 2018/19				£0.000m			
Net budget 201	8/19			£0.028m			
					-		
Budget Change							
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022		Total
£m	£n			m	£m		£m
-0.028	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.00	00	-0.028
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022	/23	Total
0.00	0.0	00	0.	00	0.0	0	0.00
		<u>'</u>					
Investment Required (Invest to Save):							
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022	/23	Total
£m	£n	n	£	m	£m	1	£m
0.000	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.00	00	0.000
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners ceased recently The likely impa • Spend relation further t less con behavio			ly impaces of the second of th	ct of budg on third to crime a o cessation nmunication	get remova party sup and disord on of PCS ons activit	al: oplies a der on t SO fund ty to ad	and services in the bus network, ding, resulting in dress anti-social
Actions needed deliver the service change			.gree be	auget ren			sxperialitare
Is external	اء مانسه	No					
consultation re	•	, .	VP (1) (2)	_		1-	-1 -1 -6
What are the ris associated with change and ho they be mitigat	n this w will	re th	With the cessation of bus network specing resources, we will rely on the general resources the bus operators and crime prevention activity the constabulary.				eral resources of
Is an Equality A	-	required	and, if	so, has o	one	n	ot required

Service Name:				Patient Safety and Safeguarding					
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23				2020/21					
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£5.338n	1				
Income 2018/19				£0.587n	<u> </u>				
Net budget 201	8/19			£4.751n	<u> </u>				
Budget Change	and Pro	filing (c	liscrete	year):					
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022	/23	Total		
£m	£n	n	£	m	£n	ı	£m		
-0.115	0.0	00	0.0	000	0.00	00	-0.115		
FTE implication	ns: None								
2019/20	2020	/21	202	1/22	2022	/23	Total		
0.00	0.0	0	0.	.00	0.0	0	0.00		
	Investment Required (Invest to Save):								
2019/20	2020			1/22	2022		Total		
£m	£n			£m		า	£m		
0.000	0.0	00	0.000		0.00	00	0.000		
Decisions need deliver the branch savings	Remove additional funding support for the Healthwatch contract. The contract will be reduced to the value of the grant which, for 19/20, is estimated at £319k. This generates a saving of £115k from the current budget of £433k.								
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners There will be a reduced independent service for citize Lancashire. The Healthwatch service enables Lancashire to have a voice in how services are run and to be able to raise concerns about local services. Healthwatch role is statutory.					bles Lancashire are run and also				
Actions need deliver the change	ded to service	, ,							
Is external consultation re	onsultation required								
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated The risks on removal of the contract would mean leading to the service and subsequently reputational risk may occur.									
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken?									

Service Name:				Planning and Environment (environmental information charges)					
Which 'start ye relate to 2019/2 2022/23		2021/22							
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£4.506n	<u> </u>				
Income 2018/19				£2.880n	า				
Net budget 201	8/19			£1.626n	า				
Budget Change									
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022		Total		
£m	£r			:m	£m		£m		
-0.015	0.0	00	0.	000	0.00	00	-0.015		
FTE implication	าร:								
2019/20	2020)/21	2021/22		2022	/23	Total		
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.0	0	0.00		
Investment Required (Invest to Save):									
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022	/23	Total		
£m	£r	n	£m		£m	1	£m		
0.000	0.0	00	0.000		0.00	00	0.000		
Decisions need deliver the bud savings Impact upon se other LCC service users a external partner deliver the service deliver the service users a sexternal partner deliver the service users and deliver the service users are delivered as services are delivered	To agree to increase the charge for environmental information held by the Council. No impact on Lancashire County Council services. Cost increases for organisations wanting environmental information. 69% of charges are to the private sector, mainly to support their planning applications and as such the charges form only a small element of a developer's planning costs. Increase charges from 2019/20 No Those seeking environmental information may try and obtain it from elsewhere or attempt to submit planning								
they be mitigated applications to district councils without it, reducing incomplete for the service. Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken? Not required						_			

Service Name:				Economic Development - Increase Income from Lancashire County Developments Limited (Lancashire Business Park)			
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23				2021/22			
Gross budget 2	2018/19			£6.066n	n		
Income 2018/19)			£4.068n	n		
Net budget 201	8/19			£1.998m			
Budget Change							
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022/23	Total	
£m	£n			<u>m</u>	£m	£m	
0.000	0.0	00	-0.	.250	-0.500	-0.750	
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020	/21	202	21/22	2022/23	Total	
0.00	0.0	00	0	.00	0.00	0.00	
Investment Rec							
2019/20	2020		2021/22		2022/23	Total	
£m	£n		£m		£m	£m	
0.000* 0.000					0.000	0.000	
					build options at Lar		
Decisions need					<i>velopments Limited</i>		
		Agree to increase income from Lancashire Business Park. This will require approval from the Lancashire County					
deliver the budgeted savings		Developments Limited Board.					
Impact upon service, other LCC services, service users and external partners		Minimal – potential operational inconvenience of other LBP tenants during construction phase.					
Actions needed to deliver the service change		Lancashire Business Park is a key source of income/profit generation that supports the delivery of Lancashire County Council's economic development priorities. Progress options for the development of two vacant plots at Lancashire Business Park to provide new business accommodation units for rent. Initial Market Review and Property Strategy Report has been received from Lambert Smith Hampton indicating the size and combination of units to build, indicative rental values and development costs. Strong market demand for business accommodation proposed.					

Is external consultation required	No				
What are the risks associated with this change and how will they be mitigated	New build might fail to attract tena evidence of strong and grow Mitigation: - Initial detailed market review - Phased development of the - Market from plan, once con	w w e two sites			
Is an Equality Analysis required and, if so, has one been undertaken?					

Service Name:		Economic Development					
Which 'start year' does this option relate to 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 or 2022/23				2020/21			
Gross budget 2		£6.066m	1				
Income 2018/19				£4.068n	1		
Net budget 201		£1.998m					
Budget Change							
2019/20	2020			21/22	2022		Total
£m	£r			:m	£m		£m
-0.060	-0.1	00	-0.	200	-0.30	00	-0.660
FTE implication	ns:						
2019/20	2020)/21	202	21/22	2022	/23	Total
0.00*	0.0	00	0	.00	0.0	0	0.00
* If current fundi	ng levels	can be	sustaine	d from a v	wider base	e of conti	ributors.
Investment Rec	quired (In	vest to	Save):				
2019/20	2020)/21	2021/22		2022	/23	Total
£m	£r	n	£m		£m	1	£m
0.000*	0.000* 0.000		0.000		0.00	00	0.000
Decisions need deliver the bud savings Impact upon se other LCC serv service users a external partne	Decrease LCC contributions to Marketing Lancashire, Lancashire Enterprise Partnership and Lancashire Growth Hub and ask the unitary and district councils to match LCCs contribution. The proposal would seek to secure 50% of the costs for these shared sub-regional services from Lancashire's unitary authorities and potentially district councils.						
Actions needed to deliver the service change		Agreement from our partners					
Is external consultation required		No, but agreement with local authorities is required.					
What are the ris associated with change and ho they be mitigate	Other partners refuse to accept shared costs impacting on our sub regional/national profile.						
Is an Equality A	-	require	d and, if	so, has	one	nc	ot required